

Spurstow Parish Council

Notice to Councillors & Residents

Clerk: C Jones, Rose Cottage, Spurstow CW6 9TG clerk@spurstow.org.uk

You are hereby summoned to attend a meeting of the Council to be held at **19:00 on Tuesday 24th March 2026** at St Boniface Church, Bunbury. The Council welcomes attendance by members of the public.

Agenda

- 1. Apologies for absence.**
- 2. Declaration of interests.** Members to declare any interests under the following categories: - Pecuniary interests, outside body interests, family, friend or close associate interests.
- 3. Minutes of the previous meeting.** To be agreed.
- 4. Planning.** To consider the Council's response to the applications below, please see the appendices below:-
 - a) 25/2641/OUT - Two Oakes
 - b) 26/0563/FUL - Jaspers Meadow revised application.
 - c) 26/0664/HOUS - Spurstow House
- 5. To receive the Clerk's Report, to include a finance update and any other planning issues which come to light after issue of this agenda .**
- 6. Clerk's update on street lighting in Spurstow.**
- 7. Speed Indicating Device.** This is still on Long Lane since the last meeting.
- 8. Any other business raised by Councillors or members of the public.** Matters raised by members of the public will be discussed in accordance with the Council's Standing Orders.

Spurstow Parish Council

Notice to Councillors & Residents

Clerk: C Jones, Rose Cottage, Spurstow CW6 9TG clerk@spurstow.org.uk

Appendix 1 – extract from an email received from a resident about the Jaspers Meadow Application

The officer clearly states the hardstanding is excessive and represents an urbanising feature to an otherwise open rural field. It states that the hardstanding is so compact it would require a high degree of work to remove for just a small scale temporary CL site when the certificate ends for whatever reason. The extensive hardstanding contradicts with policies SE2 and SE4. It is disproportionate to what is considered a small scale temporary CL site.

In addition, the application is deeply flawed with regards to its claim that there was an existing area of hardstanding. See attached a Google Earth image that was uploaded to the previous application by a member of the public. You can quite clearly see there is no hardstanding whatsoever, so this claim is false. As some of the land is Defra Grade 2, the proposal should be refused as this hardstanding is almost irreversible.

Finally, the reasoning for this hardstanding is to allow “provision for turning”. However, the gate onto the touring area is opposite the entrance to the site. Therefore, no turning is required- just drive straight through. There is definitely no need for hardstanding either side of a track between the two gates and the officer supports this in their report, stating a small amount of hardstanding may be required to allow entry/exit.

Appendix 2 – Extract of communications

We have asked our architect to draw up a revised plan showing our house “XXXX” to be accessed solely by the existing “new” drive. The revision to the drawing will look something along the lines of the sketch shown in the attached plan and I believe that this addresses the concerns of the parishioners.